

This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations  
and is not a part of the Official Record

## **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

① **BLACK BORDERS**

- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning documents *will not* correct images,  
please do not report the images to the  
Image Problem Mailbox.**

PCT

WORLD INTELLECT  
Int

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED



WO 9603174A1

(51) International Patent Classification 6 :

A61M 16/00, A62B 7/02, B63C 11/12

A1

(43) International Publication Date: 8 February 1996 (08.02.96)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE95/00784

(22) International Filing Date: 26 June 1995 (26.06.95)

(30) Priority Data:

9402594-7

28 July 1994 (28.07.94)

SE

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): COMASEC INTERNATIONAL S.A. [FR/FR]; 6-10, quai de Seine, F-93200 Saint-Denis (FR).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): LUNDBERG, Mats [SE/SE]; Jupitervägen 29, S-181 62 Lidingö (SE).

(74) Agent: WIEDEMANN, Bernd; Askvägen 22, S-182 46 Enebyberg (SE).


(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, US, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).

Published

With international search report.

In English translation (filed in Swedish).

(54) Title: CHECKING THE OPERATION OF BREATHING EQUIPMENT



(57) Abstract

The invention relates to a method of checking the working and/or the state of breathing equipment prior to its use, and also to an arrangement for carrying out the method. The breathing equipment includes a control circuit which, in turn, includes a programmed microprocessor (7), a sensor (10) mounted in the breathing equipment and connected to the microprocessor, and an indicating arrangement (11) connected to the microprocessor (7). The inventive method is characterized by activating the control circuit and therewith measuring or determining at least one functional or state parameter, comparing the measured parameter value with a control value, and indicating an acceptable or unacceptable value in the indicating arrangement (11).

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

## CHECKING THE OPERATION OF BREATHING EQUIPMENT

The present invention relates to a method of checking the working and/or the operational state of breathing equipment prior to its use, and also to breathing equipment which includes an arrangement for checking at least one working  
5 or state parameter of the equipment.

It is absolutely necessary to ensure that the breathing equipment used by a diver or a fireman, for instance, is fully serviceable and faultless prior to entering non-breathable atmospheres, for instance when diving or when working in  
10 smoke-filled or toxic environment for instance.

Among other things, it is necessary to check that the system gas-supply is completely full and therewith contains the amount of breathing gas that can be expected to be consumed, that the hoses leading to the breathing mask are tightly sealed, i.e. will not leak to the surroundings and therewith reduce the  
15 amount of gas available for breathing, that gas is able to flow from the gas reservoir freely and without hinder and will arrive at the breathing mask in sufficient volumes, i.e. that there is practically no resistance to the air flow and that the pressure prevailing in the breathing mask is higher than ambient pressure.

The gas reservoir carried by the person concerned will normally have the form of a gas cylinder which contains breathing gas at a pressure of normally  
20 300 bars, when the cylinder is full. The breathing gas is normally air, although under special circumstances may often contain at least 20 percent by volume oxygen and an inert gas, most often nitrogen and perhaps also helium. In some cases, for instance for diving to great depths, the breathing gas contains less  
25 than 20 percent oxygen by volume. Since the gas reservoir has a relatively small volume, it is important that the reservoir pressure is sufficiently high to supply the user with an anticipated maximum gas volume.

It is also important that the hoses or lines leading from the gas reservoir are tight and that the flow resistance presented thereby is sufficiently small for  
30 the gas reservoir to deliver to the user a quantity of gas which is large enough to satisfy the user's requirements, even in the case of an extreme need.

Another important safety problem concerns the gas pressure in the mask when the mask is in place. The mask pressure must be greater than the ambient pressure, so that non-breathable atmosphere, particularly toxic atmosphere, is  
35 unable to penetrate into the mask.

One object of the present invention is to provide a method whereby these functions and/or states can be checked prior to using breathing equipment.

Another object of the invention is to provide an arrangement by means of which at least one functional parameter or state parameter of breathing equipment can be checked prior to use.

The first of these methods is achieved in accordance with the invention with a method which is characterized by activating a control circuit which measures at least one functional parameter or state parameter, comparing the measured parameter value with a control value and indicating acceptable or insufficient values respectively when the set criterion is fulfilled or when it is not fulfilled.

The second object is achieved with an arrangement which includes breathing equipment, a programmed microprocessor, a sensor which is included in the breathing equipment and connected to the microprocessor, and an indicating arrangement connected to the microprocessor.

Advantageous embodiments of the present invention are set forth in the dependent Claims.

According to the present invention, the control circuit is activated either by sensing intermittently a functional parameter or a state parameter of the breathing equipment, comparing the sensed parameter value with the latest measured parameter value, and activating the control circuit when there is a significant difference between these values. Another method to activate the control circuit is to intermittently sense a functional parameter or a state parameter of the breathing equipment, to compare the sensed parameter value with a predetermined value, e. g. 10 percent, of the maximum value of said parameter and to activate the control circuit when the sensed parameter is equal to or greater than the predetermined value. Alternatively, the control circuit is activated manually, by pressing a start button for instance.

The present invention will now be described in more detail with reference to the accompanying drawing, in which

Fig. 1 is a block schematic illustrating breathing equipment provided with a control circuit for carrying out a functional test; and

Fig. 2 is a diagram which illustrates primary pressure as a function of time when carrying out a functional test.

The breathing equipment 16 includes a gas reservoir, which is usually a gas cylinder or gas container 1 containing breathing gas, for instance air or an oxygen-containing gas which includes most frequently at least 20 percent by volume oxygen and an inert gas, for instance nitrogen or helium, at a pressure of normally 300 bars when the container is completely full. The gas container 1 includes an outlet opening in which there is mounted a closure valve 2. The gas

container 1 is connected to a primary pressure regulator 4, through the medium of the closure valve 2. A line 3 extends from the primary pressure regulator 4 to a secondary pressure regulator 5 which is located immediately upstream of a breathing mask 6.

5       The pressure regulator 4 is set to reduce the pressure in the gas container 1 to typically about 7 bars in the line 3 downstream of the primary pressure regulator, i.e. the first regulator 4, and the second pressure regulator 5 is set to reduce the pressure of the gas passing to the breathing mask 6 still further, to a pressure of about 25 mm water column, i.e. to a pressure suitable for use in the  
10       mask 6. As the wearer breathes, the pressure in the mask will oscillate around this value during a breathing phase, therewith constantly maintaining an overpressure. The pressure regulator 5 is normally a requirement-controlled regulator which is closed prior to putting on the mask 6 and is opened by the subpressure that is generated when the wearer first inhales. The regulator 5 is  
15       opened when the relative pressure in the mask 6 falls beneath a preset value. It is necessary to activate other similar regulators manually, through separate activating means.

      A pressure sensor 10 is mounted in a space 12 formed between the closure valve and the primary pressure regulator 4. This sensor 10 measures the  
20       pressure in the space 12 and is connected to a microprocessor 7 by means of a line 8. Lines 9 extend from the microprocessor 7 to an indicating arrangement 11 which is preferably, but not necessarily, mounted in the breathing mask 6. The indicating arrangement 11 includes at least one indicating device. Preferably, at least one indicating device is provided for each function included in the  
25       functional test. The indicating device is preferably a light-emitting diode (LED). The indicating arrangement 11 provided in the breathing mask 6 is preferably visible to the user, both when the mask 6 is worn and when removed, and will also be visible to people in the vicinity of the user.

      The breathing mask 6 included in the breathing equipment is preferably  
30       also provided with a differential pressure meter 14, which is connected to the microprocessor 7 by means of a line 15. The measured differential pressure is indicated in an indicating device by the indicating arrangement 11. Accordingly, the mask 6 of the illustrated breathing equipment is provided with a differential pressure meter 14 which is connected microprocessor 7 by a line 15. The  
35       measured differential pressure is indicated in the indicating arrangement 11, visible to the user with the mask 6 fitted.

      According to the present invention, the lines 9 and 15 may be replaced with cordless connections between the microprocessor 7 and the indicating ar-

rangement 11 and between the microprocessor and the differential pressure meter 14 respectively.

The microprocessor 7 is programmed to carry out some or all of the functions described below. According to a third embodiment, the microprocessor  
5 senses the pressure in the space 12 intermittently, for instance every second or at some other chosen frequency, through the medium of the sensor 10, and compares the sensed pressure with the pressure that was last sensed. Alternatively, the microprocessor senses the pressure in the space 12 intermittently, for instance every second or at some other chosen frequency, through the medium  
10 of the sensor 10, and compares the sensed pressure value with a predetermined pressure value, for example 10 percent of the maximum pressure in the gas container 1.

According to the invention, before testing the breathing equipment, the closure valve 2 is opened to an extent at which the space 12 is under the same  
15 pressure as the container 1, whereafter the valve 2 is closed. The pressure in the space 12 increases as gas from the container 1 flows into the space. As the valve 2 is opened, the sensor 10 will deliver a much higher pressure value to the microprocessor 7. The microprocessor 7 receives the start signal required to carry out the functional diagnosis and state diagnosis in accordance with the in-  
20 vention in conjunction with the pressure comparison that automatically takes place.

According to another embodiment, the microprocessor is fitted with a start button which replaces the start signal obtained when a marked pressure in-  
crease is obtained after each alternate sensed pressure value when the closure  
25 valve 2 is opened. It is also necessary in this case to open the closure valve to an extent in which the pressure in the space 12 will at least substantially equal the gas pressure in the container 1, whereafter the valve is closed.

In order for the test to provide the information required, it is necessary for the primary pressure valve 4 to be set so that a suitable pressure will be ob-  
30 tained in the line 3. Furthermore, the secondary pressure regulator 5 must be closed prior to opening the valve 2.

Figure 2 illustrates the gas pressure in the proximity of the sensor 10 as a function of the time at which the test was carried out. None of the axes is graduated. Position 0 shows the relative pressure at the sensor 10 prior to  
35 starting the test. When the closure valve 2 is opened, the pressure in the space 12 will rise to the pressure of the gas reservoir, as illustrated at position 1, and there is obtained in the line 3 a pressure which is contingent on the setting of the regulator 4, this pressure being 7 bars in the illustrated case. The valve 2 is then

closed. The pressure that now prevails in the line 3 is not shown in Figure 2. The microprocessor 7 senses the pressure prevailing in the space 12 after a maximum pressure has been reached, i.e. after position 1, for instance at position 2. If the pressure is below a first control value, for instance a value within the range of 97 to 80 percent, particularly a value in the vicinity of 90%, for instance a value in the range of 95% to 85%, particularly about 90% of the full pressure in the gas reservoir 1, the microprocessor will understand this to mean that the gas supply does not fulfil the necessary pressure criterion and indicate in the indicating arrangement 11 an insufficiency value, said arrangement preferably being mounted in the mask 6. The indicating arrangement 11 indicates an acceptable value, when the pressure exceeds or is equal to the control value.

The present functional test also includes ensuring that the line leading to the mask 6, i.e. the second pressure regulator 5, is tight and will not leak gas to the surroundings. To this end, the sensor 10 measures the pressure after a predetermined time period, for instance 3-20 seconds, from the time at which pressure was measured in position 2 in Figure 2. The duration of this time lapse will depend on the level of accuracy desired. This pressure is measured before position 3. When the pressure difference between the pressure measured at position 2 and the pressure measured before position 3 is greater than a second control value, the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate an insufficiency value. When the pressure difference is lower than or equal to the control value, the indicating arrangement will indicate that the value is acceptable.

After testing the equipment for tightness, i.e. leakage, a check is made to ensure that the line 3 to the mask 6 is not blocked or that the supply of gas to the mask 6 through the regulator 5 is not hindered in some other way. To this end, the regulator 5 is opened with the mask 6 removed, so that the gas present between the closure valve 2 and the regulator 5 is able to flow freely to atmosphere, the valve 2 still being closed, and the pressure decrease in the space 12 is measured as a function of time, with the aid of the sensor 10.

One criterion of acceptable outflow or function is found in the time taken for the pressure to fall to  $a\%$  of the original pressure, for instance the pressure that prevailed prior to opening the second regulator, from  $(b-a)\%$ , where  $b$  is a value greater than  $a$  and equal or less than 100, for example 50, and  $a$  may be 10 for instance. When this time duration is equal to or smaller than a third control value, the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate an acceptable value; in other cases, an unacceptable value will be indicated.

This is shown in Figure 2, where position 3 indicates that the second regulator 5 is open so that the gas content of the equipment downstream of the



closure valve is able to flow freely from the system. Position 4 indicates that the pressure has fallen to a value of  $(100 - a)\%$  of the pressure prevailing at position 3. Position 5 indicates that the pressure has fallen to  $a\%$ . When the time,  $t_5 - t_4$ , is shorter than or equal to the third control value, the function of the equipment with regard to gas supply is considered to be fully acceptable.

Another criterion for acceptable gas outflow, or function, is one in which the pressure that prevails after opening the second regulator 5 is measured after a predetermined time interval. If, when measured, it is found that the pressure has fallen to the same value as a predetermined highest value or to a lower value, during this time period, the microprocessor 7 will indicate, via the indicating arrangement 11, that the supply of gas to the mask 6 is acceptable. Otherwise, the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate that the equipment is faulty.

This second criterion is also shown in Figure 2. In this case, the pressure is measured from the time of opening the second regulator 5, i.e. at position 3, and is compared with a fourth control value, for instance at position 5 for the sake of simplicity. If the pressure at time point  $t_5$  exceeds a predetermined pressure,  $p_5$ , the ordinate at position 5, the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate a malfunction.

Naturally, the pressure decrease as a function of time can be measured in other ways. For instance, the derivative of the pressure curve can be measured as a function of time at the curve inflection point. The derivative, i.e. the directional coefficient of the curve, is then a measurement of the outflow rate.

Another important function of the equipment resides in checking that the control circuit (10, 7, 8, 9, 11) works satisfactorily. Accordingly, the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate the functional state of the control circuit (10, 7, 8, 9, 11) when measuring the pressure after having changed the pressure in the region where the sensor 10 acts. A malfunction is indicated if this does not take place.

Another important function is that the face mask 6 fits tightly to the user's face and that when breathing with the closure valve 2 open a relative overpressure with regard to ambient atmosphere is maintained in the space between the mask 6 and the wearer's face. Accordingly, the closure valve 2 is opened after carrying out the aforescribed tests, and a check is optionally made to ensure that the primary pressure regulator 4 is set to the correct setting. After having put on the mask 6, the regulator will open automatically as the user breathes in, or is opened manually if the regulator should be closed or switched-off.

The breathing mask 6 includes a sensor 14 which measures the difference between the pressures that prevail inside and outside the mask 6. Should

the pressure between the mask 6 and the face of the wearer be greater than the pressure prevailing outside the mask during at least one breathing cycle, the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate a positive pressure, i.e. a fully acceptable function. Otherwise, the indicating arrangement will indicate a non-acceptable function.

According to one preferred embodiment, serviceable equipment is indicated when all tests have shown an acceptable result. The use of the equipment is prevented when one or more tests show an unacceptable result. However, according to one preferred embodiment, the equipment can be used when the gas reservoir has been filled to a higher pressure than a predetermined lowest pressure, wherein the indicating arrangement 11 will indicate that the reservoir pressure is lower than the lowest recommended value for a full gas reservoir. However, use of the equipment is prevented, or blocked, when the pressure in the gas reservoir is lower than a lowest predetermined pressure value, for instance 20 percent of maximum pressure.

The microprocessor is powered by a small source of electric current, for instance by one or more batteries. The indicating arrangement will also preferably indicate the remaining operational time or useful life of the current source. If the remaining operational time is lower than a predetermined operational time, this is indicated in the indicating arrangement. According to another preferred embodiment, the equipment includes a registering device which is associated with the control circuit. This device registers each activation of the control circuit and the results of the tests and functional checks carried out after each activation. An active or a passive memory unit connected to the microprocessor is one example of such registering devices. This registration enables subsequent checks to be made to ascertain the number of times the equipment has been tested and the results obtained in conjunction therewith.

### Claims

1. A method of checking the function and/or state of breathing equipment (16) prior to its use, **characterized** by activating a control circuit (7-11, 14, 15) which measures or determines at least one functional or state parameter of the equipment, comparing the measured parameter value with a control value, and  
5 indicating an acceptable or unacceptable value.
2. A method according to Claim 1, **characterized** by registering each activation of the control circuit and the result derived thereby.
3. A method according to Claim 1 or 2, **characterized** by sensing intermit-  
10 tently a functional parameter or state parameter of the breathing equipment (16), comparing the sensed value with a latest measured value, and activating the control circuit (7-11, 14, 15) when a significant difference exists between the values.
4. A method according to Claim 1 or 2, **characterized** by sensing intermit-  
15 tently a functional parameter or state parameter of the breathing equipment (16), comparing the sensed value with a predetermined value, and activating the control circuit (7-11, 14, 15) when a significant difference exists between the values.
5. A method according to Claim 3 or 4, wherein the breathing equipment (16) includes a gas reservoir (1) provided with an outlet opening, a closure valve (2) mounted in the reservoir outlet opening, a line (3) in which there is included sequentially, as seen from the closure valve (2), a primary pressure regulator (4),  
20 a second regulator (5) and a breathing mask (6), **characterized** by measuring the pressure between the closure valve (2) and the first pressure regulator (4) as a first functional or state parameter.
6. A method according to Claim 5, wherein the second pressure regulator (5) is closed and the closure valve (2) is then opened and then closed, **character-  
25 ized** by measuring the pressure between the closure valve (2) and the first pressure regulator (4) over a predetermined time interval, determining the pressure decrease during said time interval, and indicating an acceptable value when  
30 the pressure decrease is smaller than a first control value or an unacceptable value when the pressure decrease is equal to or greater than a first control value respectively.
7. A method according to Claim 5, wherein the second pressure regulator (5) is closed and the closure valve (2) is thereafter opened and then closed,  
35 **characterized** by opening the second pressure regulator (5) after closing the closure valve (2) so as to empty the space (12) between the closure valve (2) and the first pressure regulator (4) of gas, measuring the pressure in the space (12) as a function of time, and indicating an acceptable or an unacceptable value

when, after a predetermined time period, the pressure decrease (negative slope) is greater than a second control value and equal to or smaller than a second control value, respectively.

8. A method according to Claim 5, wherein the second pressure regulator (5) is closed and the closure valve (2) is thereafter opened and then closed, **characterized** by opening the second pressure regulator (5) after closing the closure valve (2) so as to empty the space (12) between the closure valve (2) and the first pressure regulator (4) of gas, measuring the pressure in the space (12) a second predetermined time period after opening the second pressure regulator (5), and indicating an acceptable or an unacceptable value when the pressure value less than a third control value and equal to or smaller than a third control value, respectively.

9. A method according to one or more of Claims 1-8, **characterized** by indicating functioning of the control circuit (7-11, 14, 15) when said circuit is activated in response to opening the closure valve (2).

10. A method according to one or more Claims 1-9, **characterized** by indicating an acceptable result when all tests have resulted in fully acceptable values, or by indicating an unacceptable result when at least one test has shown an unacceptable value.

11. A method according to Claim 5, **characterized** by indicating a fully acceptable result when the pressure is equal to or higher than a predetermined pressure value within the range of 97 to 80 percent of maximum pressure, preferably within the range of 95 to 85 percent of maximum pressure.

12. An arrangement for checking at least one functional parameter or state parameter of breathing equipment (16), **characterized** by a programmed microprocessor (7), a sensor (10) which is included in the breathing equipment (16) and connected to the microprocessor (7), and an indicating arrangement (11) connected to the microprocessor (7).

13. An arrangement according to Claim 12, **characterized** in that the arrangement also includes a registering device which registers each activation of the control circuit and the results that derive from checks carried out in response to this activation.

14. An arrangement according to Claim 12 or 13, in which the breathing equipment (16) includes a gas reservoir (1) having an outlet opening, a closure valve (2) mounted in the reservoir outlet opening, a line (3) which includes sequentially, as seen from the closure valve (2), a first or a primary pressure regulator (4), a second pressure regulator (5), and a breathing mask (6), **char-**

**acterized** in that the sensor (10) is mounted in the space (12) between the closure valve (2) and the primary pressure regulator (4).

15. An arrangement according to Claim 12, 13 or 14, **characterized** in that the indicating arrangement (11) is mounted in the breathing mask (6) and is  
5 visible to the user and also to people in the vicinity of the user; and in that the indicating arrangement (11) includes at least one light-emitting diode (LED).

16. An arrangement according to one or more of Claims 12-15, **character-  
ized** in that the breathing equipment (16) includes a second pressure sensor  
(14) which measures the difference in pressure between the pressures prevailing  
10 inside and outside the mask (6); and in that the second sensor (14) is connected  
to the indicating arrangement (11) through the microprocessor (7).





Fig. 1

Fig. 2



# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 95/00784

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC6: A61M 16/00, A62B 7/02, B63C 11/12

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC6: A61M, A62B, B63C

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	EP 0324259 A2 (BUDINGER, WILLIAM D), 19 July 1989 (19.07.89) --	1-16
A	WO 8806549 A1 (COMERFORD, ERNEST), 7 Sept 1988 (07.09.88) --	1-16
A	DE 3911154 A1 (INTERSPIRO GMBH), 11 October 1990 (11.10.90) -- -----	1-16

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☒ See patent family annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents:

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

8 November 1995

Date of mailing of the international search report

14.11.1995

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

Swedish Patent Office

Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Jack Hedlund

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

**INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT**  
Information on patent family members

International application No.  
**PCT/SE 95/00784**

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP-A2- 0324259	19/07/89	AU-A- 2732688	13/07/89
		JP-A- 2141389	30/05/90
		US-A- 4876903	31/10/89
		US-A- 4926703	22/05/90
		US-A- 4970897	20/11/90
		US-A- 5016483	21/05/91
WO-A1- 8806549	07/09/88	AU-B,B- 591804	14/12/89
		AU-A- 7821887	01/09/88
		EP-A,B- 0305450	08/03/89
		JP-T- 1502898	05/10/89
		US-A- 4949072	14/08/90
		US-A- 4999606	12/03/91
DE-A1- 3911154	11/10/90	NONE	